UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,472	07/27/2006	Akio Taniguchi	5404/165	5181
	7590 06/30/200 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10395			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/587,472	TANIGUCHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accessory	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-13-06;7-27-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

It is suggested that the word "nationalization" in line 1 of the specification be replaced with "national stage" if this is what is meant for clarity.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is objected to since patent claims must be in the form of a single complete sentence and since claim 2 has multiple periods it is apparently in the form of more than one sentence.

The term "powder slash molding" has no art recognized meaning and is not defined by the specification and is therefore unclear.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goetz et al. (US 2003/0100675).

Goetz et al. discloses a composition containing a flow control agent containing a block copolymer with alkyl acrylate /alkylmethacrylate blocks (examples) in which the blocks may contain anhydride functionality (paragraph 44) and to which may be added a free radically produced epoxy functional acrylate polymer having a molecular weight of as little as 500 (paragraphs 120-122). Applicants' molecular weights are disclosed in paragraph 89.

There are no examples of compositions' in which all of applicants limitations are present in combination. However it would have been obvious to a practitioner having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrive at applicants' composition by selecting from the various disclosures of the reference in the expectation of adequate results absent any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kakeda (WO 02092696) in view of Goetz, cited above and either Nakashima et al. (US 6,576,691) or Kawakubo et al. (US 5,976,289).

It is noted that Kakeda '696 corresponds to US 2004/0147674 which will be referred to since it is in English.

Kakeda discloses a composition containing a block copolymer having an acrylic block and a methacrylic block (abstract) containing a reactive group such as carboxyl in applicants amounts in combination with a thermoplastic (paragraph 20) which may include epoxy group containing copolymers (paragraphs 28 and 60). The carboxyl may be converted to anhydride by dehydration in paragraph 185 and would therefore have the structure of applicants claim 2. Compression or blow molding is disclosed in paragraph 302 and production of automotive articles in paragraph 485.

There are no specific examples of compositions in which all of applicants materials are present simultaneously in combination and "powder slash molding" or skin production is not disclosed not are the acrylic copolymer molecular weights of claim 12 disclosed. However it would have been obvious to a practitioner having an ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1796

at the time of the invention to arrive at applicants composition by selecting from the various disclosures of the reference in the expectation of adequate results absent any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

Page 4

The equivalence of powder slash molding, compression and blow molding is disclosed by Nakashima at column 8, lines 13-20.

Kawakubo discloses the production of a skin for automotive interiors at column 5, lines 20-30 and Figure 9.

It would have been obvious to a practitioner having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use powder slash molding in the process of Kakeda since Kakeda discloses the use of compression or bloc molding and since Nakashima discloses the equivalence of these methods and powder slash molding for producing articles absent any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

It would have been obvious to a practitioner having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to mold skins from the composition of the primary reference since the primary reference discloses that automotive articles can be produced using their composition and by the disclosure of the secondary reference that automotive skins can be produced by powder slush molding and thus use of such a process in the primary reference would meet the goals of the primary reference absent any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

It would have been obvious to a practitioner having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the epoxy acrylic of the primary reference in the form of the molecular weight of 500 of the secondary reference motivated by the need to choose a

Application/Control Number: 10/587,472

Art Unit: 1796

molecular weight for the epoxy containing acrylic of the primary reference in order to

use it and by the disclosure of the secondary reference of molecular weights which are

useable in a similar composition and therefore workable absent any showing of

surprising or unexpected results. Furthermore the teachings of the secondary reference

are optional in that it requires only routine experimentation to find the optimum or

workable range of result effective variable absent any showing of surprising or

unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis

at telephone number 571 272 1075.

Jeffrey C. Mullis **Primary Examiner** Page 5

Art Unit 1796

JCM

6-20-08

/Jeffrey C. Mullis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796